

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management: From 1-D to N-D problem distributions, on clusters, supercomputers and Grids

Stéphane Vialle, Xavier Warin, Constantinos Makassikis, Patrick Mercier

To cite this version:

Stéphane Vialle, Xavier Warin, Constantinos Makassikis, Patrick Mercier. Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management: From 1-D to N-D problem distributions, on clusters, supercomputers and Grids. Grid@Mons conference, May 2008, Mons, Belgium. hal-00291821

HAL Id: hal-00291821 <https://centralesupelec.hal.science/hal-00291821v1>

Submitted on 25 Feb 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management: From 1-D to N-D problem distributions, on clusters, supercomputers and Grids.

Stéphane Vialle *Stephane.Vialle@supelec.fr* Xavier Warin *Xavier.Warin@edf.fr*

Constantinos Makassikis *Constantinos.Makassikis@supelec.fr* Patrick Mercier *Patrick.mercier@supelec.fr*

Grid@Mons – May 9, 2008 – Mons

Project 1: the first steps

"One-dimensional stochastic optimization: application to gas storage valuation"

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

1 – First Motivations and Objectives

For technical, financial and legal reasons, energy trading companies need to have energy stocks.

 \rightarrow For example: gas storage.

These are expensive investments!

- \rightarrow How to optimize the management of gas storages?
- \rightarrow How to compute the renting price ?

Computation of a « gas storage valuation »:

- compute the « value » of an investment project about a gas storage cavity,
- compute profits and risks associated with the ownership of a gas storage cavity, considering an open energy market.

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

2.1 – Resolution method

Instantaneous profit: $\left\{\begin{aligned} 0 < 0 \text{ when } \text{injecting } \text{gas} \text{ (we pay this gas)} \\ 0 < 0 < 0 \text{ when } \text{withouting } \text{gas} \text{ (we sell this gas)} \end{aligned}\right.$

 $\phi(t, S_t, I_t)$, with $\begin{cases} S_t : \text{gas price at time t} \\ I_t : \text{regime (injection, withdrawal, nothing)} \end{cases}$

• Expected profit from t0 maturity (T):

$$
J(t_0, s, c, i, u) = \mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^T \phi_{u_r}(r, S_r, I_r) dr
$$

includes random variables
 u : strategy of gas storage management

• Looking for a strategy *^u* leading to the maximal profit *J**:

$$
J^*(t_0, s, c, i) = \sup_{u \in U_{t_0}} J(t_0, s, c, i, u)
$$

•

Grid@Mons

2.2 – Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Grid@Mons

Computation of the maximal profit and associated strategy:

- using a « backward dynamic programming algorithm »
- fixing a strategic scenario at maturity
- considering 2 main variables:
	- \checkmark admissible stock levels
	- \checkmark possible gas prices: "alea"
- computing a 2D table of possible maximal profits at t_n function of:
	- \checkmark possible profit 2D table at t_{n+1}
	- \checkmark action from t_n to t_{n+1}
- \bullet at t $_{\rm o}$ (today) the algorithm joins the current stock level and gas price.

applied to energy management

Results: 1) Expected (maximal) profit from t_0 to maturity, 2) List of actions to do at each time step in any case

Stochastic control optimization & simulation

2.2 – Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Backward dynamic programming algorithm:

2.3 – Price models ("alea")

3 models of gas prices have been considered:

- **« 1-factor Gaussian »:**
	- considering one short-term random variable to model spot prices
	- evolution of prices without any jump
- **« 2-factor Gaussian »:**
	- considering one short-term and one long-term random variables
	- evolution of prices without any jump
- \rightarrow Computation of the expected profit (E) using trinomial trees
- **« Normal Inverse Gaussian »:**
	- "jumping" model
	- to model the impact of phenomena leading to brutal change of gas price
- \rightarrow Computation of the expected profit (E) by solving a complex PDE (computed with an iterative algorithm)

Grid@Mons

Distributed computing 3.1 – Parallelization strategy

- • **At each time step** two tables are used:
	- *NewRes***:** for storing results of ongoing time step t_{n} .
	- **OldRes:** results of time step t_{n+1} .
- • **Problem 1:** stock levels of interest are contiguous but with variable bounds at each time step!
- • **Problem 2:** complete set of data is too large to be stored on one node!

Strategy:

- Distribute *OldRes* and *NewRes* tables of data and computations
- Compute and route only required parts of *OldRes* table

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

Example on P1:

- **1)** Determine the **new bounds and distribution of computations at t_n**
- **2)** Determine **data required at t_n by P1: data to receive**

Routing plan

- **3)** Determine **data to send** by P1
- **4)** Allocate optimal in size **data structures**
- **5)** Make **communications** according to routing plan with MPI library
- **6) Compute Res at t_n**

P0

P1

P2

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

Distributed computing

3.3 – Distributed Algorithm

Load balancing of computations at t_{M}

Each processor computes prices at $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathsf{M}}$

Data exchange planning and execution

Each proc. computes the entire new load balancing map Each proc. computes the entire new distribution map of required input data Each proc. computes its routing planning, to redistribute input data for the next computation step Each proc. resizes its local input tables (minimizing the used memory space) Each proc. achieves its routing planning, accordingly to a fixed routing scheme: Send

New computation processing

Each proc. processes its range of stock values, and computes prices at t_n function of some prices at t_{n+1}

Short post processing and final result collect

Grid@Mons

Distributed computing

3.4 – MPI – C++ implementation

Implementation strategy:

To speed up: parallelization and overlapping of all communications during the execution of one routing plan,

but computations and communications can not be overlapped

To size up: avoid extra communication buffers

- → Use of MPI_Issend / MPI_Irecv / MPI_Wait routines
- \rightarrow Memory management to allocate strictly required memory at each step

Others communication routines have been experimented… … "Issend / Irecv / Wait" appeared to be the fastest.

And: uses Blitz++, Boost and Clapack libraries

4 - Testbeds

3 distributed architectures:

- Small and cheap desktop-PC cluster:
	- 32 nodes: 32x (1 mono-core Pentium-IV 3GHz, 2GB of RAM)
	- cheap Gigabit Ethernet network : 2x 24-port switches, double linked
- Medium sized blade-PC cluster (a cluster of Grid'5000):
	- 72 nodes: 72x (2 mono-core Opteron 2GHz, 2GB of RAM)
	- fast/normal Gigabit Ethernet network (1 large switch)
- IBM Blue Gene/L (EDF supercomputer):
	- 4096 nodes: 4096x (2 mono-core processors, 700MHz, 1GB of RAM)
	- Several fast interconnection networks

3 benchmark programs:

- \bullet with "1-factor Gaussian" price model $\qquad \rightarrow$ needs speed-up
- \bullet with "Normal Inverse Gaussian" price model $\quad\rightarrow$ needs speed-up and size-up
- \bullet with "2-factor Gaussian" price model $\qquad \rightarrow$ needs size-up and speed-up
-
- -

Grid@Mons

Experimental performances

5.1 – 1-factor Gaussian model

- Small problem!
- Good scaling on BG/L and on blade PC cluster, and final speedup close to 254 and 107
- Bad scaling of the small cluster (with cheap Gigabit switches)
- Some superlinear speedup due to cache memory increase (?)
- Using 2 proc. per node leads to poor performances…

• Finally, BG/L appears a little bit faster than the blade PC cluster.

• But both seems to reach their scalability limit on this small problem.

Experimental performances

5.2 – Normal Inverse Gaussian model

- Long execution time problem
- Higher computation/communication ratio (than for 1-factor Gaussian model)
- Good scaling and final speedup (680, 29 and 110) on all parallel machines
- Using 2 proc. per node does not disturbthe performances

• Finally, Blue Gene appears as fast as the blade PC cluster

• Speedups are very close of the ideal speedup

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

Experimental performances

5.3 – 2-factor Gaussian model

- Large size and long exec. time problem: at least 11GB of memory (for sequential runs) \rightarrow impossible to run on 1 proc. and to compute rigorous speedups
- Highest computation/communication ratio (of the 3 benchmarks)
- Good scaling on all parallel machines

• Using 2 proc. per node does not disturb(too much) the performances.

• Blue Gene/L achievedbetter performances, taking advantage of its very large number of processors.

applied to energy management

Experimental performances 5.4 – Scalability experiment

- Previous simulations used a *q-discretization* factor of stock level equal to 500MWh.
- In order to run a simulation in limited time (before making a deal) and not to monopolize too many computing resources, it is necessary to adapt to the required accuracy.

Grid@Mons

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Project 2: the real problem

"N-dimensional stochastic optimization and simulation: application to electricity asset management"

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

6 – Second Motivations and Objectives

« Management of French electricity production to control cost while satisfying demand »

Thermal energy

Goal: save energetic resources and money

Main levers: the commands to manage the different energetic stocks

 \rightarrow A N-dimensional stochastic optimization problem!

 \rightarrow We extend our 1-D distributed stochastic control algorithm to N-D

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

7.1 – Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Backward dynamic programming algorithm:

7.1 – Dynamic Programming Algorithm

Backward dynamic programming algorithm:

7.2 – Application overview

Part-1: Input file reading and initializations

- Read files and load data in each process memory space
- \bullet BG/L PFS \rightarrow concurrent read (no pb!)
- PC cluster NFS \rightarrow crash!
- \rightarrow P0 reads data files and broadcasst data.

Part-2: stochastic optimization

- N-D version of the previous algorithm
- + intermediate results storage
- \rightarrow Many IO & many communications & huge computations

Part-3: stochastic simulation (compute risk associated to the optimized strategy)

- Independent Monte-Carlo forward simulations
- BUT: requires to read intermediate result of part 2
- \rightarrow Many IO & many communications & few computations !

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

Distributed computing 8.1 – N-D data distribution strategy

Split and map

a N-cube of data

computing nodes

on a 2k-cube of

Part-2 data distribution requirements:

- We have to split a N-stock hypercube of profits
- We have NbNodes = 2^k on Blue Gene/L (no constraint on PC-cluster)

Objectives of the split:

- to load balance the data and the computations
- to minimize the communications of the « N-D influence area » !
	- \rightarrow "cubic" split (avoid "flat" subcubes).

Distributed computing

8.1 – N-D data distribution strategy

Considering a 3-stock problem:

 P_i : Compute the N-dimensional cube split in $P = 2^k$ subcubes, avoiding « flat cubes »

- identify the dimension with the largest « subcube edges »
- split each of these subcube edges

repeat…

Each (MPI) process computes this data distribution **map**

Distributed computing 8.1 – N-D data distribution strategy

Considering a 3-stock problem:

 P_i : Compute the N-dimensional cube split in $P = 2^k$ subcubes, avoiding « flat cubes »

- identify its ND-subcube in the global distribution map
- allocate optimal in size datastructures

Distributed computing 8.1 – N-D data distribution strategy

• When all dimensions can be split each processor manages very "cubic" ND-subcubes:

• When at least one dimensions cannot be split processors manage more "flat" ND-subcubes:

> *Some stocks are aggregated into one large stock, leading to optimized but complex computations. Then this dimension cannot be split, and parallelization is not optimal.*

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

Considering a 2-stock problem:

What happens on **P5** (for example) ?

At *t_n*, it manages a new 2D-subcube, in the new 2D-cube

… influenced by a 2D-subcube of *tn+1* data

So it has to establish its routing plan:

Considering a 2-stock problem:

What happens on **P5** (for example) ?

It determines all 2D-subcubes it hasto receive from other processors

Considering a 2-stock problem:

What happens on **P5** (for example) ?

It determines all 2D-subcubes it hasto receive from other processors

Considering a 2-stock problem:

What happens on **P5** (for example) ?

It determines all 2D-subcubes it hasto send to other processors:

- compute « influence area » of P0
- compute the intersection with its t_{n+1} 2D-subcube of data

Considering a 2-stock problem:

What happens on **P5** (for example) ?

It determines all 2D-subcubes it hasto send to other processors:

• repeat with other processors…

The routing plan of P5 is complete! \rightarrow Execute it quickly!

Distributed computing 8.3 – C++ implementation

Parallelization:

• MPI: Mpich-1, OpenMPI, IBM MPI communication routines: MPI_Issend, MPI_Irecv, MPI_Wait

 \rightarrow overlap all communications when executing a routing plan (to speedup)

 \rightarrow do not use "extra communication buffers" $\qquad \qquad$ (to size up)

• + multithreading: Intel TBB or OpenMP

 \rightarrow to speedup and to size up more (than using only message passing)

Scientific computing libraries:

Blitz++, Boost, Clapack, Sprng.

Total:

- 57000 lines of C++ code
- 10% for parallelization management
- Same source code on PC-cluster and Blue Gene/L

Grid@Mons

Experimental performances 9.1 – Perf. of the optimization part

Performances of optimization part of a "7-stocks / 10-state-vars" computation Using: MPICH-1 and TBB on IC-cluster (a PC cluster), IBM MPI on BG/L

• Similar curves (compared to 1-D gas storage valuation)

- Performance on BG/L:
	- less important …
- high fluctuations … Strange! We investigate.
- MPICH-1 on IC-cluster:
	- 1 MPI process + 2 threads: not better than 2 MPI processes,
	- many failures beyond 64 nodes.

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Experimental performances 9.2 – Perf. of optim. & simu. parts

Details of the performances of a "7-stocks / 10-state-vars" computation Using: OpenMPI and OpenMP on IC-cluster (a PC-cluster)

Stochastic control optimization & simulation 1E+021E+031E+041E+051E+01 1E+02 1E+03 1E+04 **Nb of nodesTexec (s)** \rightarrow opti: 1 process/node **-□**-opti: 2 processes/node \rightarrow opti: 1 process $+ 2$ threads/node simu: 1 process/node - simu: 2 processes/node \leftarrow simu: 1 process $+$ 2 threads/node **Optimization (part 2) on IC-clusterSimulation (part 3) on IC-cluster** • OpenMPI on IC-cluster: - no failure beyond 64 nodes- slower than MPICH-1(approx. +20%) - 1 MPI process + 2 threads: better than 2 MPI processes, • Optimization: scales Simulation: does not scale! • Best implementation: 1 process + 2 threads, (both for opti & simu)

applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

Experimental performances 9.2 – Complete computation perf.

Performances of a "7-stocks / 10-state-vars" entire computation (parts 1, 2 and 3): Using: OpenMPI and OpenMP on IC-cluster (a PC cluster), IBM MPI on BG/L

Conclusion and perspectives:

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

10.1 - Conclusion

From parallel computing point of view:

Complex parallelization (with many comms. and IOs) Speedup, size up and scalability on PC-cluster and BG/L supercomputers

More experimentations are required on BG/L

New experimentations are required on PC-cluster with different MPI libraries

Improvement of the stochastic simulation distributed algorithm is required…

From a user point of view:

Usual limit is 4-5 state variables on sequential machines!

Our software :

- \checkmark currently allows to process a « 7-stock/10-state-vars » problem in less than 2h on our PC-cluster.
- \checkmark supports different theoretical models and allows to quickly experiment and evaluate new models

10.2 - Perspectives

Next steps:

- More experiments on BG/L 8192 processors (using IBM MPI)
- Experiments on BG/P 32000 processors (using IBM MPI + OpenMP)
- Serial optimizations on BG, with the help of IBM
- Improvement of the stochastic simulations (part-3)

Exploitation for energy management:

- Quick implementation and large scale evaluation of new models
- Design and experimentation of new and larger use cases
	- \rightarrow Towards a global optimization of EDF energy production.

Multi-site Grid experiments:

- Multi-site experiment on Grid'5000
- Impact of WAN on performances ? *collaboration with AlGorille/INRIA team (Nancy)*
- Improvement of process mapping on a multi-site Grid ? *collaboration with Reso/INRIA team (Lyon)*

June 2008 …

Stéphane Vialle, Xavier Warin

May-December 2008

May-June 2008

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management: From 1-D to N-D problem distributions, on clusters, supercomputers and Grids.

Control optimization & simulation energy management

Grid@Mons

Stochastic control optimization & simulation applied to energy management

Grid@Mons

