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## ABSTRACT

An adaptive scaling strategy is proposed for counterbalancing LLR overestimation in Min-Sum de coding. The scaling factor is a function of a single variable that can be efficiently computed online.

## MIN-SUM DECODING

Irregular ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{K}$ ) LDPC code over an AWGN channel

## Notations

$R_{i}$ : LLR of bit $i$ from the observations
$E_{j i}^{(k)}:$ LLR sent at iteration $k$ from check node $j$ to variable node
$M_{j i}^{(k)}$ : LLR sent at iteration $k$ from variable node $i$ to check node $j$

Standard min-sum decoding

- Horizontal step

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j i}^{(k)}=\prod_{i \neq i} \operatorname{sgn}\left(M_{j i^{\prime}}^{(k-1)}\right)_{i \neq i} \min _{i \neq i}\left|M_{j i^{\prime}}^{(k-1)}\right| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Vertical step

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j i}^{(k)}=R_{i}+\sum_{j^{\prime} \neq j} E_{j^{\prime} i}^{(k)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Scaled min-sum decoding

KNOWN FACTS FROM THE LITERATURE:

- Min-sum decoding leads to overestimated LLR [2]
- Fixed scaling factor is sufficient for regular LDPC but not for irregular LDPC [5]
- The scaling factor should depend on the check node degree $[4,3]$.
- Vertical step (new rule)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{j i}^{(k)}=R_{i}+\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}(i)} \alpha^{(k)}(d) \sum_{\substack{j^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}(d) \\ j^{\prime} \neq j}} E_{j^{\prime} i}^{(k)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}(i)$ is the set of degrees and $\mathcal{M}(d)$ is the set of indexes of parity check eq. with degree $d$.
Optimal choice for the scaling factor $\Rightarrow$ depends on the reliability of the LLR.

## SCALING FACTOR AND MUTUAL INFORMATION

Mutual information between extrinsics

```
Notations
\(L_{y}\) resp. \(L_{z}\) rv associated with \(\left\{\sum_{j} E_{j, i}\right\}\) resp. \(\left\{\sum_{j} M_{j, i}\right\}\)
\(X\) : rv associated with transmitted message
    The mutual information \(I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)\) is defined as:
\[
\begin{equation*}
I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)=E_{p_{L_{y}, L_{z}}\left(\ell_{y}, \ell_{z}\right)}\left[\log _{2}\left(\frac{p_{L_{y}, L_{z}}\left(\ell_{y}, \ell_{z}\right)}{p_{L_{y}}\left(\ell_{y}\right) p_{L_{z}}\left(\ell_{z}\right)}\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
```

The mutual information between extrinsics is related to $I\left(L_{y}, X\right)$ and $I\left(L_{z}, X\right)$ through [1]:

$$
I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)=I\left(L_{y}, X\right)+I\left(L_{z}, X\right)-I\left(L_{y}+L_{z}, X\right)
$$

and can be evaluated with Exit Charts.
EXAMPLE: Irregular code of rate $\frac{1}{2}$ and length 10032 with degree distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x)=0.25 x^{4}+0.75 x^{14} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\lambda(x)=0.1917 x+0.0125 x^{2}+0.0417 x^{4}+0.0750 x^{5}+0.2040 x^{6}+0.0667 x^{7}$ $+0.225 x^{8}+0.0833 x^{9}+0.058 x^{10}+0.0541 x^{12}$
Two different check-node degrees: 5 and $15 \Rightarrow$ two scaling factors $\alpha(5)$ and $\alpha(15)$


Figure 1: Scaling factors $\alpha(5)$ and $\alpha(15)$ vs $I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)$.
Using polynomial fitting and with $I=I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)$, we obtain the following rules:

$$
\alpha(5)=0.416+1.956 I-2.975 I^{2}+1.832 I^{3}
$$

$$
\alpha(15)=-0.232+2.747 I-3.690 I^{2}+2.268 I^{3}
$$

## ONLINE COMPUTATION OF $I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)$

Let $\ell_{y, i}$ resp. $\ell_{z, i}$ be a realization of random variable $L_{y}$ resp. $L_{z}$. An estimator $\widetilde{I}_{y z}$ of $I\left(L_{y}, L_{z}\right)$ is given in [1] with expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{I}_{y z}=1+\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log _{2}\left(\frac{1+e^{\ell_{y, k}+\ell_{z, k}}}{\left(1+e^{\ell_{y, k}}\right)\left(1+e^{\ell_{z, k}}\right)}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be implemented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{I}_{y z}=1+\frac{1}{K \log (2)} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(f\left(\ell_{y, k}+\ell_{z, k}\right)-f\left(\ell_{y, k}\right)-f\left(\ell_{z, k}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(\ell)=\max (0, \ell)+\underbrace{\log \left(1+e^{-|\ell|}\right.}_{g(\ell)}$ and pre-computed values from $\mathcal{Q}=\left\{0, q, 2 q, \ldots, L_{\max }\right\}$ are used for $g(\ell)$

Complexity (extra cost compared to fixed scaling strategy)

- storage of pre-determined values of $\alpha(5), \alpha(15)$ and $g($.
- arithmetical complexity due to the computation of $\widetilde{I}_{y z}$ at each iteration.
with LDPC in (6-7), extra cost is less than $8 \%$ for addition and less than $2 \%$ for min/max selection


## NUMERICAL RESULTS

- Channel: AWGN
- CODE: Irregular LDPC code with rate $1 / 2$, length 10032 and degree distribution in (6)-(7).
- Modulation: BPSK, 256-QAM.

Comparison between:

- SP: sum-product implementation
- MS, $\alpha=1$ : standard MS implementation with vertical step as in (2)
- MS, $\alpha=f(I M)$ : the proposed method with vertical step as in (3) and with adaptive scaling factors in $(8-9)$ and $I=\bar{I}_{y z}$.
- MS, $\alpha=f\left(E b N 0_{\text {threshold }}, i t\right)$ : MS implementation in [4] with scaling factor acquired using training LLR and with a value of $E b N 0$ corresponding to the practical threshold $E b N 0_{\text {threshold }}$ of the code.
- DNMS: MS implementation with fixed values of $\alpha(5)$ and $\alpha(15)$ (generalization of the normalized MS to irregular codes [2] with best scaling pair $\alpha(5)=0.88$ and $\alpha(15)=0.68$ [4]).



Figure 2: BER under BPSK AWGN channel (left) and 256-QAM AWGN channel (right)



Figure 3: Iteration number under BPSK AWGN channel (left) and 256-QAM AWGN channel (right)
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