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Abstract—For nonlinear time-delay systems with globally Lipschitz vector fields, we propose a relaxed sufficient condition for global exponential stability (GES), in which the dissipation rate of the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional is not needed to involve the functional itself, but merely the point-wise current value of the solution. Our proof technique consists in explicitly constructing a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional that satisfies existing criteria for GES. Consequences for robustness to exogenous inputs are briefly evoked and an example taken from neuroscience literature illustrates the applicability of the result.

I. INTRODUCTION

A powerful tool to study the stability of nonlinear time-delay systems is the Lyapunov-Krasovskii approach. This method requires to find a proper functional that decreases along the solutions of the system [10], [5], [8], [14]. The key difference with the Lyapunov approach for delay-free systems is that the object of study is no longer a function, but rather a functional (as its argument is the whole state history over a bounded time interval).

In order to ensure asymptotic stability, the Lyapunov-Krasovskii approach requires that the derivative of the functional $V$ dissipates in a point-wise manner, in the sense that its derivative along solutions needs to be negative as long as $x(t) \neq 0$. This light requirement turns out to be very handy in practice. However, when it comes to exponential stability, the only existing results require a dissipation rate that involves the whole functional itself (of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n\geq 0} |\dot{z}_i|$, see [10], [18], [22]): we call this a strict dissipation. In a delay free context, strict and point-wise dissipations are the same, as the Lyapunov function is upper and lower bounded by the state norm. However, for time-delay systems, these two bounds often differ (the lower bound involves the point-wise value of the solution’s norm, whereas the upper bound involves the supremum norm of the solution).

The question of what stability properties can be guaranteed with a point-wise dissipation was already the subject of [3] and [2] for input-to-state stability properties and some questions remain open in that respect. Here, we address systems without input and show that a point-wise dissipation is indeed enough to show global exponential stability for systems with globally Lipschitz dynamics. Our proof is constructive: based on a functional with point-wise dissipation, we explicitly construct a functional that dissipates in terms of the whole state history norm. For globally Lipschitz dynamics, we show that point-wise, strict, and history-wise dissipations are the same from the point of view of global exponential stability.

We briefly describe how this result can be used to study robustness to exogenous inputs. We also illustrate its applicability with an example taken from neuroscience literature.

II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

We consider nonlinear time-delay systems of the form:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x_t). \quad (1)$$

The state $x_t$ is defined as $x_t(s) := x(t+s)$ for all $s \in [-\delta; 0]$, where $\delta \geq 0$ denotes the maximum delay of the system. For each $t \geq 0$, $x_t \in C^n$ where $C := C([-\delta; 0], \mathbb{R})$, the set of continuous functions from $[-\delta; 0]$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The vector field $f : C^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is assumed to be Lipschitz on bounded sets (it will actually be assumed globally Lipschitz in most of the paper, but the introductory results below do not require this) and to satisfy $f(0) = 0$.

We make use of two different norms. Given a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|z|$ denotes its Euclidean norm, namely:

$$|z| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n\geq 0} |z_i|^2}.$$ Given a function $\phi \in C^n$, $\|\phi\| := \max_{s \in [-\delta; 0]} |\phi(s)|$. We will also make use of comparison functions: $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is of class $K$ if it is zero at zero, continuous, and increasing; $\alpha \in K_\infty$ if $\alpha \in K$ and it is unbounded; $\beta \in KL$ if, for each $t \geq 0$, $\beta(\cdot, t) \in K$ and, for each $s \geq 0$, $\beta(s, \cdot)$ is continuous, non-increasing, and tends to zero as its argument tends to infinity.

A sufficient condition for global asymptotic stability of (1) dates back to the fifties [10]. It makes use of Lyapunov-Krasovskii functions (LKF), which have become a classical tool for the stability analysis of time-delay systems. It states that, if there exist a functional $V : C^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $K_\infty$ functions $\alpha, \overline{\alpha}$ such that, for all $\phi \in C^n$,

$$\alpha(|\phi(0)|) \leq V(\phi) \leq \overline{\alpha}(|\phi(0)|), \quad \dot{V}(\phi) \leq -V(\phi),$$

then the origin of (1) is globally asymptotically stable. In this form, formally stated in e.g. [5, Theorem 2.1, p. 105], $D^r_\phi V$ denotes the upper-right Dini derivative of $V$ along the solutions of (1), namely:

$$D^r_\phi V(\phi) := \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{V(x_h(\phi)) - V(\phi)}{h}.$$ Several aspects are worth noticing. First, the upper and lower bounds on the LKF $V$ differ: the upper bound involves the...
Definition 1 (GES) The origin of system (1) is globally exponentially stable (GES) if there exists $\eta, \gamma > 0$ such that, for each $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, its solution satisfies

$$|x(t; x_0)| \leq \eta\|x_0\|e^{-\gamma t}, \ \forall t \geq 0.$$  

GES is a powerful stability property, as it ensures both an exponential decay to the origin and a transient overshoot proportional to the initial state norm $\|x_0\|$. Moreover, under regularity conditions on the vector field, this property is known to ensure robustness to exogenous disturbances in an input-to-state stability (ISS) sense [22].

LKF characterizations of exponential stability also exist for a long time. Nevertheless, quite surprisingly, none of them allow for a point-wise dissipation rate. In order to make this more precise, we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 2 (GES LKF) Let $V : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a functional, Lipschitz on bounded sets of $\mathbb{C}^n$, for which there exist $k, K > 0$ such that, for each $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$k|\phi(0)|^2 \leq V(\phi) \leq K|\phi|^2.$$  

Then $V$ is said to be:

- a GES LKF with history-wise dissipation for (1) if there exists $k > 0$ such that
  $$D^+_H V(\phi) \leq -k|\phi|^2, \ \forall \phi \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

- a strict GES LKF for (1) if there exists $k > 0$ such that
  $$D^+_H V(\phi) \leq -kV(\phi), \ \forall \phi \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

- a GES LKF with point-wise dissipation for (1) if there exists $k > 0$ such that
  $$D^+_H V(\phi) \leq -k|\phi(0)|^2, \ \forall \phi \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$  

These three types of GES LKF thus differ only in the way they dissipate along the solutions of (1). Clearly, any GES LKF with history-wise dissipation is a strict GES LKF, which is also a GES LKF with point-wise dissipation.

The fact that GES can be established with a strict LKF (hence, with an LFK with history-wise dissipation) is known [10], [18], [22]. However, obtaining a strict LKF on practical examples usually requires some tricks. For instance, for an LFK that involves only the sum of terms of the form $|\phi_i(0)|^2$ and $\int_{-\delta}^0 |\phi_i(s)|^2 ds$ (as is often the case), we can add a term in the kernel of the integral (e.g. exponential) to get a dissipation involving the whole LKF [17], [7], [11].

Still, these tricks are not guaranteed to work with any LKF. Moreover, the use of GES LKF with point-wise dissipation would be much handier in practice and would homogenize GES theory with that for global asymptotic stability. Our main result establishes that these three notions of LKF are actually equivalent for systems defined by a globally Lipschitz vector field and ensure global exponential stability.

III. MAIN RESULT

Our main result, proved in Section [V] is the following.

Theorem 1 (GES characterizations) Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be globally Lipschitz and satisfy $f(0) = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

i) (1) admits a GES LKF with history-wise dissipation

ii) (1) admits a strict GES LKF

iii) (1) admits a GES LKF with point-wise dissipation

iv) the origin of (1) is GES.

For globally Lipschitz systems, point-wise dissipation is thus sufficient to establish GES and the existence of a strict GES LKF, and even a GES LKF with history-wise dissipation, then come for free.

The above result can prove useful when studying robustness to exogenous disturbances. For this, the main tool is ISS, which was originally introduced for delay-free systems [19] and then extended to time-delay systems [21], [17], [9]. It concerns systems of the form:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \ (2)$$

where $f : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lipschitz on bounded sets and satisfies $f(0, 0) = 0$. The input $u$ is assumed to be in $U^m$, the set of signals $u : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ that are measurable and locally bounded. We recall the definition of ISS below.

Definition 3 (ISS) The system (2) is input-to-state stable (ISS) if there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{KL}$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$ such that, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and any $u \in U^m$, its solution satisfies

$$|x(t; x_0, u)| \leq \beta(|x_0|, t) + \mu \left( \sup_{s \in [0; t]} |u(s)| \right), \ \forall t \geq 0.$$  

We refer the reader to the survey [20] for more information about ISS. It was shown in [22] that GES guarantees ISS under some regularity assumptions on $f$. Thus, the following is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1 (GES & ISS) Assume the input-free system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x, 0)$ admits a GES LKF with point-wise dissipation. Assume further that $f(\cdot, 0)$ is globally Lipschitz and that there exists $c > 0$ and $q \in [0; 1)$ such that, for all $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$|f(\phi, v) - f(\phi, 0)| \leq c \max\{||\phi||^q; 1\}|v|. \ (3)$$

Then system (2) is ISS.
Proof: By Theorem [1], the origin of the input-free system $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), 0)$ is GES. ISS of (2) then follows from [22, Theorem 3.2].

The regularity assumption (3) is fulfilled in particular if $f$ is globally Lipschitz in both its arguments (in which case $q = 0$). This corollary slightly complements [3], which investigates whether a point-wise dissipation is enough to guarantee ISS (note that this question is still open).

IV. Proof of Theorem [1]

The implications $i) \Rightarrow ii)$ and $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$ are trivial. In [22, Theorem 2.4], it is stated that $iv)$ guarantees the existence of a globally Lipschitz functional $V_0 : C^0 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\xi, \kappa > 0$ such that, for all $\phi \in C^0$, $\|\phi\| \leq V_0(\phi) \leq \kappa \|\phi\|$ and $D_\phi V_0(\phi) \leq -\kappa \|\phi\|$. Hence, the functional $V := V_0^2$ satisfies $\|\phi\|^2 \leq V(\phi) \leq \kappa^2 \|\phi\|^2$ and $\|D_\phi V(\phi)\| = 2V_0(\phi)D_\phi V_0(\phi) \leq -\kappa \|\phi\|^2$. Thus, $iv)$ implies $i)$ (actually, with a coercive LKF). So it is sufficient to prove that $iii) \Rightarrow iv)$, namely that the existence of a GES LKF with point-wise dissipation guarantees exponential global stability. To that aim, recall that $iii)$ means that there exists a functional $V : C^0 \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, Lipschitz on bounded sets, and $k, \bar{k}, \kappa > 0$ such that, for all $\phi \in C^0$,

$$k \|\phi(0)\|^2 \leq V(\phi) \leq \bar{k} \|\phi\|^2 \quad (4)$$

Let

$$D_\phi V(\phi) \leq -k \|\phi(0)\|^2. \quad (5)$$

Let

$$W(\phi) := \int_{-\delta}^{0} \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 d\tau, \quad \forall \phi \in C^0. \quad (6)$$

The proof consists in showing that the functional

$$V(\phi) := V(\phi) + \varepsilon W(\phi),$$

with some $\varepsilon > 0$, has a history-wise dissipation rate along the solutions of (1). We start by showing the following.

Claim 1 Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, $V$ is Lipschitz on bounded sets.

Proof: Since $V$ is Lipschitz on bounded sets, it is sufficient to show that $W$ is the same property. First observe that, for all $\tau \in [-\delta, 0]$ and for all $\phi, \psi \in C^0$,

$$\max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 - \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2 \leq \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 - |\psi(s)|^2. \quad (7)$$

To see this, consider first the case when $\max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 \geq \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2$ and let $s^* \in [\tau, 0]$ be such that $\max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 = |\phi(s^*)|^2$. Then it holds that

$$\max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 - \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2 = |\phi(s^*)|^2 - \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2 \leq |\phi(s^*)|^2 - |\psi(s^*)|^2 \leq \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} (|\phi(s)|^2 - |\psi(s)|^2) \leq \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 - |\psi(s)|^2. \quad (8)$$

The case when $\max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 \leq \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2$ can be addressed in the same way, which establishes (1). Let $X$ be any bounded set of $C^0$ and assume that $\phi, \psi \in X$. Since the function $x \mapsto x^2$ is locally Lipschitz, there exists $\ell > 0$ such that $|\phi(s)|^2 - |\psi(s)|^2 | \leq \ell |\phi(s) - \psi(s)| | \leq \ell |\phi(s) - \psi(s)|$. It follows from (7) that, for all $\tau \in [-\delta, 0]$ and all $\phi, \psi \in X$,

$$\max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 - \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2 \leq \ell \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s) - \psi(s)| \leq \ell \|\phi - \psi\|. \quad (8)$$

Thus, in view of (5), it holds for all $\phi, \psi \in X$ that

$$|W(\phi) - W(\psi)| = \left| \int_{-\delta}^{0} \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 d\tau - \int_{-\delta}^{0} \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2 d\tau \right| \leq \int_{-\delta}^{0} \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\phi(s)|^2 - \max_{s \in [\tau, 0]} |\psi(s)|^2 | d\tau \leq \ell \delta \|\phi - \psi\|,$$

meaning that $W$ is Lipschitz on bounded sets.

Using (6) and (7), we also have the following.

Claim 2 Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds that

$$k \|\phi(0)\|^2 \leq V(\phi) \leq (\bar{k} + \varepsilon \delta) \|\phi\|^2, \quad \forall \phi \in C^0. \quad (9)$$

We now proceed to computing the Dini derivative of $V$ along the solutions of (1). To that aim, consider the solution $t \mapsto x(t)$ of (1) starting from any $x_0 \in C^0$ at $t = 0$. Note that, since $f$ is globally Lipschitz, this solution exists at all times $t \geq 0$ and is unique [5]. Hence, for all $t \geq 0$, it holds that

$$W(x(t)) = \int_{-\delta}^{0} \max_{s \in [\tau, t]} |x(t + s)|^2 d\tau. \quad (9)$$

Operating successively the changes of variables $s \leftarrow t + s$ and $\tau \leftarrow t + \tau$, it follows that

$$W(x(t)) = \int_{t-\delta}^{t} \max_{s \in [t, t]} |x(s)|^2 d\tau. \quad (9)$$

The following statement constitutes the key idea of the paper: adding $W$ to the GES LKF $V$ with point-wise dissipation allows to obtain a history-wise dissipation term.

Claim 3 For all $t \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\Delta_{\tau} W(x(t)) \leq |x(t)|^2 - \|x(t)\|^2 + 2\delta |x(t)| \|f(x(t))\|. \quad (9)$$

Proof: If $\tau \mapsto \max_{s \in [\tau, t]} |x(s)|^2$ were differentiable, then the derivative of $W$ could be easily computed using Leibniz integral rule. Unfortunately, this may not be the case. This is why the proof of this claim is a bit technical. In order to lighten the notation, define:

$$m(\tau, t) := \max_{s \in [\tau, t]} |x(s)|^2, \quad \forall t \geq \tau \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

Then the function $m$ satisfies the following:
P1 $m(\tau, t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq \tau \geq 0$

P2 $\tau \mapsto m(\tau, t)$ is non-increasing on $[0; t]$

P3 $t \mapsto m(\tau, t)$ is non-decreasing on $[\tau; +\infty)$.

P4 $m(\tau, t) = \max \{m(\tau, s); m(s, t)\}$ for all $t \geq s \geq \tau \geq 0$.

With this notation, $W(x_i) = \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_i} m(\tau, t) d\tau$, hence:

$$D_t^+ W(x_i) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} m(\tau, t+h) d\tau - \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_i} m(\tau, t) d\tau \right).$$

Let

$$I(t) := \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} (m(\tau, t+h) - m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t)) d\tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Then it holds that

$$D_t^+ W(x_i) \leq I(t)$$

$$+ \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) d\tau - \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_i} m(\tau, t) d\tau \right).$$

Notice that this latter term reads

$$\limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) d\tau - \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_i} m(\tau, t) d\tau \right)$$

$$= \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) d\tau + \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_i} m(\tau, t) d\tau - \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_{i-\delta}} m(\tau, t) d\tau \right)$$

$$= \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) d\tau - \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_{i-\delta}} m(\tau, t) d\tau \right)$$

$$= m(t, t) - m(t, t - \delta, t)$$

$$= |x(t)|^2 - \|x_i\|^2,$$

where the last equality comes from (10). It follows that

$$D_t^+ W(x_i) \leq I(t) + |x(t)|^2 - \|x_i\|^2. \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

So all we need to show is that $I(t) \leq 2\delta|x(t)||f(x_i)|$. In view of (11), $I(t)$ can be written as

$$I(t) = \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{J(h, t)}{h},$$

where

$$J(h, t) := \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} \left( m(\tau, t+h) - m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) \right) d\tau$$

$$= \int_{t_i}^{t_{i-\delta}} \left( m(\tau, t+h) - m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) \right) d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} \left( m(\tau, t+h) - m(\min\{\tau; t\}, t) \right) d\tau$$

$$= \int_{t_{i-\delta}}^{t_{i-\delta}} \left( m(\tau, t+h) - m(\tau, t) \right) d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{t_i}^{t_i+h} \left( m(\tau, t+h) - m(\tau, t) \right) d\tau.$$
Now, observe that (5) ensures in particular that $D^+_t V(x_t) \leq 0$. This, combined with (4), guarantees that $|x(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\|x_0\|$, which in turn implies that $\|x_t\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\|x_0\|$. Since $f$ is globally Lipschitz and $f(0) = 0$, there exists a positive constant $\ell_f$ such that $| f(x_t) | \leq \ell_f \|x_0\|$. Consequently:

$$I(t) \leq I(1)$$

$$\leq 2\delta|x(t)|\limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} |f(x_0)|d\theta + \limsup_{h \to 0^+} \frac{h^2 \ell_f^2 \|x_0\|^2}{h}$$

$$\leq 2\delta|x(t)|\|f(x_t)\|.$$ 

The claim then follows from (12).

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1. Since $|f(x_t)| \leq \ell_f \|x_0\|$ for some $\ell_f > 0$, it follows from Claim 5 that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$D^+_t W(x_t) \leq |x(t)|^2 - \|x_t\|^2 + 2\ell_f \|x(t)\|.$$

Now, recall that $V(\phi) = V(\phi) + \epsilon W(\phi)$, with $\epsilon > 0$ to be chosen. (5) and (14) ensure that, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$D^+_t V(x_t) \leq -k|\epsilon|x(t)|^2 + \epsilon|x(t)|^2 - \epsilon|\|x_t\|^2 + 2\ell_f \|x(t)\|$$

$$\leq -(k - \epsilon)|x(t)|^2 - \epsilon|\|x_t\|^2 + \epsilon \ell_f \left(\lambda |x(t)|^2 + \|x_t\|^2 \right)$$

$$\leq -(k - \epsilon - \epsilon \ell_f \lambda)|x(t)|^2 - \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{\ell_f \lambda}{\epsilon} \right) \|x_t\|^2.$$ 

Pick $\lambda = 2\ell_f$, then

$$D^+_t V(x_t) \leq -(k - \epsilon - 2\epsilon \ell_f^2)|x(t)|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|x_t\|^2.$$ 

Thus, by picking $\epsilon := k/(1 + 2\epsilon \ell_f^2)$, we finally obtain that

$$D^+_t V(x_t) \leq -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \|x_t\|^2, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$ 

From this, a strategy to conclude GES is to use the bounds on $V$ from Claim 2 to show the exponential decay of $V(x_t)$ with the help of a comparison lemma by taking into account that $V$ is locally Lipschitz (thus the problem of the absolute continuity is overcome, see [16]), and that the function $t \mapsto W(x_t)$ is locally absolutely continuous. An alternative is to directly integrate (15) to get from Claim 2 that

$$\int_0^\infty \|x_t\|^2dt \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} V(x_0) \leq \frac{2k}{\epsilon} \|x_0\|^2, \quad \forall x_0 \in C^0,$$

and GES follows from the integral criterion proposed in [6].

V. Example

In [13], a model of two interconnected neuronal populations was proposed to explain a possible mechanism for parkinsonian brain oscillations onset. This model reads:

$$\tau_1 \dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + S_1(c_{11}x_1(t - \delta_{11}) - c_{12}x_2(t - \delta_{12}) + \mu)$$

$$\tau_2 \dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + S_2(c_{21}x_1(t - \delta_{21}) - c_{22}x_2(t - \delta_{22})),$$

where the argument $t$ of the non-delayed variables has been omitted. In this model, $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ represent the neuronal activity of populations 1 and 2. $\tau_1, \tau_2 > 0$ are time constants. $S_1, S_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz function (with no loss of generality, we assume their Lipschitz constant is 1). For each $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, $c_{ij} \geq 0$ represents the synaptic strength from population $j$ to population $i$ and the delays $\delta_{ij} \geq 0$ take into account the finite velocity of action potentials propagation from population $j$ to population $i$. $\mu(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ represents an artificial stimulation signal that can be introduced through implanted electrodes in order to disrupt pathological oscillations.

With appropriate parameter values, this system exhibits sustained pathological oscillations as a result of instability caused by strong synaptic connectivity between the two populations [13], [15]. This model has been studied in [4], using linearization around equilibrium, to show that a proportional feedback strategy from Population 1 to itself is able to locally stabilize the system. This was confirmed in a spatio-temporal extension of this model [1]. The following result states that this feedback globally exponentially stabilizes the system.

**Proposition 1** For each $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $c_{ij}, \delta_{ij} \geq 0$ and $\tau_i > 0$. Assume that functions $S_i$ are globally Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant 1, non-decreasing, and such that $S_i(0) = 0$. Then there exists $\theta^* \geq 0$ such that, for all $\theta \geq \theta^*$, the system (16) in closed loop with $\mu(t) = -\theta x_1(t)$ is GES.

The proof consists in finding a GES LKF with point-wise dissipation. For this particular example, one could find a strict LKF (by putting exponential terms in the kernels of (18) below), but at the price of more involved computations. Note that if additive disturbances act in the dynamics (16), whether inside or outside the functions $S_i$, ISS can easily be derived based on Corollary [7].

**Proof:** For any $\theta \geq 0$, the closed-loop dynamics reads:

$$\tau_1 \dot{x}_1 = -x_1 + S_1(c_{11}x_1(t - \delta_{11}) - c_{12}x_2(t - \delta_{12}) - \theta x_1)$$

$$\tau_2 \dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + S_2(c_{21}x_1(t - \delta_{21}) - c_{22}x_2(t - \delta_{22})).$$

Let $V(\phi) = V_1(\phi_1) + \rho V_2(\phi_2)$ where, for each $j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$V_j(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tau_j \phi_j(0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\delta_{ij}}^0 \lambda_{ij} \phi_i(s)^2ds \right),$$

with $\rho > 0$ and $\lambda_{ij} > 0$ to be chosen. $V$ is Lipschitz on bounded sets. Moreover, it satisfies bounds of the form (4) by setting $\kappa = \tau_1 + \rho \tau_2 + \lambda_{11} \delta_{11} + \lambda_{21} \delta_{21} + \rho \lambda_{12} \delta_{12} + \rho \lambda_{22} \delta_{22}$ and $\kappa = \min(\tau_1, \tau_2) \min(1, \rho)/2$. Moreover, for all $\phi \in C^2$,

$$D^+_t V = -\phi_1(0)^2 - \phi_2(0)^2 + \phi_1(0) S_1(c_{11} \phi_1(-\delta_{11}) - c_{12} \phi_2(-\delta_{12}) - \theta \phi_1(0)) + \rho \phi_2(0) S_2(c_{21} \phi_1(-\delta_{21}) - c_{22} \phi_2(-\delta_{22})) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ij} \phi_i(0)^2 - \phi_i(-\delta_i)^2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ij} \phi_i(0)^2 - \phi_i(-\delta_i)^2$$

Since each $S_i$ is globally Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant 1, non-decreasing, and satisfies $S_i(0) = 0$, it holds that $|S_i(s)| \leq |s|$ and $S_i(s) s \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Based on this, the examination of two cases, whether or not the argument of $S_i$ dominates the control signal $-\theta \phi_1(0)$,
shows that φ(0)S(1 + φ(0) + φ(0)) ≤ \frac{4}{\delta} (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2) \xi_2 (\xi_1 + \xi_2) + \frac{8}{\delta} (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 + c_4^2) \xi_1 (\xi_1 + \xi_2).

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper, we have shown that GES can be established with a functional that dissipates only point-wisely, if the dynamics are globally Lipschitz. We have quickly evoked some consequences in terms of robustness to exogenous inputs and illustrated its applicability through an example taken from neuroscience literature.

The restriction to \textit{globally} Lipschitz dynamics is of course the main drawback of the approach: further work is needed to investigate whether the proposed LKF construction can be extended to merely locally Lipschitz systems. Also, the LKF considered here have quadratic bounds: deeper investigations are required to check whether bounds in the power p ≥ 1 can also be employed. The proof presented here suggests that this extension is feasible for p > 1, but linear bounds (p = 1) seem less straightforward. This is a pity as converse results for GES do make use of linear bounds [18] and such an extension would probably allow to construct a \textit{globally Lipschitz} LKF with history-wise dissipation (which would be expected in view of the strong regularity requirements imposed on the vector field). We also hope that the proposed LKF construction will be of some help to solve the conjecture posed in [3] about ISS based on point-wise dissipation.
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