

## 1.2 nW Neuromorphic Enhanced Wake-Up Radio

Zalfa Jouni, Thomas Soupizet, Siqi Wang, A. Benlarbi-Delai, Pietro Maris

Ferreira

### ► To cite this version:

Zalfa Jouni, Thomas Soupizet, Siqi Wang, A. Benlarbi-Delai, Pietro Maris Ferreira. 1.2 nW Neuromorphic Enhanced Wake-Up Radio. 35th SBC/SBMicro/IEEE/ACM Symposium on Integrated Circuits and Systems Design (SBCCI), Aug 2022, Porto Alegre, Brazil. 10.1109/SBCCI55532.2022.9893247. hal-03689791

## HAL Id: hal-03689791 https://centralesupelec.hal.science/hal-03689791

Submitted on 5 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# 1.2 nW Neuromorphic Enhanced Wake-Up Radio

Zalfa Jouni, Thomas Soupizet, Siqi Wang, Aziz Benlarbi-Delai, Pietro M. Ferreira

Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, CNRS, Lab. de Génie Électrique et Électronique de Paris, 91192, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Lab. de Génie Électrique et Électronique de Paris, 75252, Paris, France.

Email: zalfa@ieee.org

*Abstract*—Low-cost devices with ultra-low power radio capabilities are a major challenge in smart devices, while a permanently-on receiver is required for smart communication. This paper proposes a wake-up radio with a neuromorphic preprocessing system both biased in weak inversion region. The system is able to receive a 2.4 GHz signal, demodulate it, and recognize bit patterns based on the spiking frequency of a neuron. Significant performance is obtained with 1.2 nW of total power consumption, which is at least three orders of magnitude less than the conventional RF envelope detectors. Further, spiking frequency responsiveness over input power suggests that the proposed system can distinguish different signals at 2.4 GHz. The proposed system achieves an energy efficiency of 1.2 pJ/bit with a minimum detectable signal of -27 dBm.

*Index Terms*—envelope detector, neuromorphic sensor, IoT devices, ultra-low power.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The founding pillar of Internet of Things (IoT) concept is the availability of low-cost devices with ultra-low-power wireless communications capabilities [1]. A promising approach to dramatically reduce the power consumption is to use a permanently-on ultra-low-power receiver, a.k.a wakeup receiver. Whenever it detects a communication request, it wakes up the main radio of high performance from deep sleep to receive data. On-Off Keying (OOK) modulation is usually adopted with simple RF envelope detection to achieve lowpower consumption. However, the minimum detectable signal by the receiver is limited by the quadratic nonlinearity of such detectors [2].

Furthermore, the approaching end of Moore's law and the increasing power demands limit traditional computing, such as Von Neuman's systems [3]. While Von Neuman architectures suffer from energetic and speed bottlenecks, neuromorphic systems come to achieve real-time performance and better power efficiency. In contrast to digital neuromorphic systems, analog solutions save significant power, thanks to their capability to faithfully mimic biological neural systems [4].

To explore the sensory processing in the brain, neuromorphic engineers have focused on mimicking the retina and cochlea [5]. However, a smart vision or audition in IoT requires an RF sensor system since IoT devices communicate through electromagnetic waves. With the increasing number of sensors and sensory systems in IoT, signal processing is becoming a problem due to the data complexity and the large number of devices. Thus, RF neuromorphic may drive a new generation of bio-inspired signal processing.

This paper aims to implement a novel RF architecture using neuromorphic sensing for IoT applications. The proposed method paves an innovative way for brain-inspired applications and hardware AI to explore new paradigms for neuromorphic sensors. Figure 1 illustrates the proposed system, which is designed in the BiCMOS SiGe 55 nm technology from ST Microelectronics. It consists of a wake-up radio system with a neuromorphic pre-processing system (comprising a synapse and a neuron). The system detects the RF input signal  $(V_{RF})$ , demodulates it  $(V_{ED})$ , and converts it into an excitation current (Iex) connected to an artificial fast-spiking neuron (FS eNeuron). The overall system can detect and identify bit patterns of a 2.4 GHz OOK-modulated signal. Moreover, the proposal can also observe the electromagnetic environment and seize important informations. In this case, it is able to recognize the RF input power based on the spiking frequency  $(f_{spike})$  of eNeuron.

This paper is organized as follows. General background is presented in Sec.II. Proposed system and each stage of it, with mathematics modeling, are described in Sec.III. Results of post-layout simulations are explored in Sec.IV to demonstrate the spike-based signal processing. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Sec.V.



Fig. 1. Proposed RF neuromorphic sensor system composed of four stages: a matching network, an envelope detector, a synapse, and a fast-spiking eNeuron. Stages are detailed in Sec. III.

#### II. BACKGROUND

#### A. Wake-up Receiver

IoT offers many opportunities in smart physical objects combining artificial intelligence and signal processing in lowcost context-aware devices. For many applications, IoT devices may require low power consumption. To meet this requirement, a hardware solution composed of two receivers is often used [1]. One is the main receiver with high performance and it is kept in a deep sleep until it is needed. The other is the wake-up receiver (WuR) with ultra-low power consumption. The WuR is always on and asynchronous.

Most wake-up receivers implemented for sensor networks use a 2.4 GHz carrier ( $F_{RF}$ ) and OOK modulation. Energy efficiency is defined as  $E_{eff} = P_{rms}/DR$ , where  $P_{rms}$  is the receiver's power consumption and DR is the data rate expressed in kilo-bit-per-second (kbps). Cheng *et al.* have proposed a wake-up receiver based on direct active RF detection [2]. At the operating frequency of 2.4 GHz with DR = 200 kbps, their RF detector achieves -50 dBm minimum detectable signal ( $P_{mds}$ ) while consuming 2.4  $\mu$ W. Besides, their receiver has an energy efficiency of 22.5 pJ/bit.

Recently, Kinget *et al.* have designed a wake-up receiver with gate-biased self-mixers [6]. It consumes only 420 pW with an interesting  $P_{mds} = -79.1$  dBm. It achieves an  $E_{eff}$ = 4.2 pJ/bit. However, it operates at 434 MHz with DR = 0.1 kbps, which is a very low carrier and data rate for IoT applications. At 0.9 GHz and for a DR = 1 kbps, Karami *et al.* have proposed a wake-up receiver lately with a  $P_{mds} = -$ 26 dBm and a low  $P_{rms} = 5.7$  nW [7]. Based on that, 5.7 pJ/bit of energy efficiency is obtained.

Since the envelope detector is the part of the wake-up radio operating in RF, it is usually the main contributor to power consumption. A simple demodulator can be considered for that purpose, especially when the RF input is an OOK signal [8]. This paper proposes a simple envelope detector, as shown in Fig. 2.

#### B. Neuromorphic Analog System

Neuromorphic computing appeared in the 90s as a complementary architecture to Von Neuman systems [3]. It is spread in analog and digital domains. Neuromorphic analog systems take inspiration from biological neural systems and their reliance on physical properties for computation. The neuromorphic hardware approach consists of large-scale integration of silicon artificial synapses and neurons (eNeurons) [3]. In widespread literature, neuromorphic analog systems use circuitry that operates in the subthreshold mode for low power properties [4], [9], [10].

One of the synapse implementations focuses on unsupervised learning for synaptic weight updates through the spiketiming-dependent plasticity rules [3]. To build plastic synapses, emerging technologies such as memristors are used [11]. However, issues such as process variation and reliability are presented for unconventional technologies. Danneville *et al.* [9] have designed synapses using inverters to produce a long synaptic current pulse. Indiveri *et al.* have been one of the first to propose a silicon synaptic circuit in a spiking neural network. They have designed complex synapse blocks as an array implementation of current mirrors [12], where current mirror gains represent the synaptic weight. In this paper, a synapse model tends to be relatively simple, composed of two current mirrors, as shown in Fig. 2.

Various eNeuron models are implemented in hardware due to a trade-off between complexity and biological inspiration. Moris-Lecar model (ML) has presented a simple eNeuron while faithfully mimicking biological system [3]. Moving to a less biologically realistic category, the leaky integrate-and-fire model (LIF) has produced enough complexity in behavior to be useful in spiking neural systems. Spiking eNeuron is often compared in the state-of-the-art by its output spiking frequency  $f_{spike}$ , its power consumption  $P_{rms,N}$  and its energy efficiency  $E_{eff,N} = P_{rms,N}/f_{spike}$ .

Sourikopolous *et al.* [4] have innovated biomimetic and simplified versions of the eNeuron model based on ML implementation. They have first demonstrated a 4 fJ/spike energy efficiency with a relatively constant period by reaching a maximum  $f_{spike}$  of 26 kHz. Danneville *et al.* have designed a low-power LIF eNeuron in a small surface area [10]. Its spiking frequency is 15.6 kHz and its energy efficiency is 2 fJ/spike. Recently, a fast-spiking (FS) eNeuron is designed in the previous work [13]. This FS eNeuron spikes with a higher firing rate to highlight a better energy efficiency trade-off. A maximum  $f_{spike}$  of 400 kHz and an  $E_{eff,N}$  equal to 1.95 fJ/spike are obtained. Based upon this previous work, an FS eNeuron is redesigned from [13] to achieve a large band of spiking frequency in the system. It is shown in Fig. 2.

#### C. Neuromorphic Signal Processing

Neuromorphic signal processing opens a wide range of applications such as motion control, image recognition, and sensory detection [3]. A neuromorphic hardware system for visual pattern recognition is designed in [14]. It contains an artificial photoreceptor, which converts an image into voltage pulses, a memristor array for synaptic connections, and LIF neurons. Cassidy *et al.* have designed a wireless address event representation (AER) [15]. Cassidy's neuromorphic impulse radio introduced a distributed wireless cortex capability using a digital neuromorphic system (i.e., FPGA) and discrete RF components. In IoT applications, artificial sensing requires novel RF architectures for signal classification and real-time processing. However, RF architecture challenges in neuromorphic sensing are not addressed in the state-of-the-art.

#### III. PROPOSED RF NEUROMORPHIC SENSOR

The neuromorphic-enhanced WuR system level is illustrated in Fig. 1, while transistor-level description is available in Fig. 2. To address RF 2.4 GHz band, a 50  $\Omega$  matching network is required (not represented in Fig. 2). It consists of a capacitance and an inductance achieving a maximum power transfer. Figure 2 presents the envelope detector ( $M_{CG}$ ) followed by a low-pass filter ( $C_{LP}$ ,  $M_1$ ). Excitation current  $I_{ex}$ is delivered by the synapse (Fig. 2) to the FS eNeuron (Fig. 2).

All transistors are operating in weak inversion region to take advantage of two things. First, an ultra-low-power consumption is obtained by a supply voltage around of  $\pm 100 \text{ mV}$  ( $V_{DD} = 100 \text{ mV}$  and  $V_{SS} = -100 \text{ mV}$ ). Secondly, in the ML eNeuron model, the generation and propagation of a spike seek an implementation of non-linear gating variables to control the ionic channel currents. Such behavior requires transistors operating in the subthreshold region [4].



Fig. 2. Circuit level of the proposed system composed of an envelope detector, a synapse and an FS neuron ( $V_{DD}$  = 100 mV and  $V_{SS}$  = -100 mV)

#### A. Envelope Detector

RF envelope detector rectifies the incoming OOK signal  $V_{RF}$  and provides a baseband output  $V_{ED}$  equivalent to the envelope of the original signal. Three popular forms of RF envelope detector configurations are common drain, common gate, and common source [2]. In this paper, the envelope detector is a common gate transistor  $M_{CG}$  since it can achieve a maximal conversion gain [2]. The conversion gain of the envelope detector ( $CG_{ED}$ ) is obtained from the ratio between the demodulated output signal  $V_{ED}$  and RF input signal  $V_{RF}$ . In common gate topology and for small-signal analysis, the conversion gain of the envelope detector is expressed as:

$$CG_{ED} = \frac{V_{ED}}{V_{RF}} = \frac{i_o r_o}{V_{RF}} = \frac{I_D r_o V_{RF}}{4\phi_T^2}$$
(1)

where  $r_o$  is the intrinsic output impedance, and  $i_o$  is the output demodulated current represented by the second-order term of Taylor expansion as:

$$i_o = \left(\frac{\partial^2 I_D}{\partial V_S^2}\right) \frac{V_S^2}{2} \tag{2}$$

and  $I_D$  is the drain current expressed in the weak inversion region as:

$$I_D = I_s \cdot e^{(V_G - V_{T0})/\eta \phi_T} \left( e^{-V_S/\phi_T} - e^{-V_D/\phi_T} \right)$$
(3)

where  $I_s$  is the specific current;  $V_{T0}$  is the bias-independent threshold voltage for  $V_S = 0$ ;  $\eta$  is the subthreshold slope factor ( $\eta \approx 1.34$  for the 55nm BiCMOS technology, calculated based on [16]);  $\phi_T$  is the thermal voltage ( $kT/q \approx 26 \text{ mV}$  at 27 °C); and  $V_G$ ,  $V_S$ ,  $V_D$  the voltages on the gate, the source and the drain of the transistor respectively.

An example of a common gate transistor is studied in Fig. 3 to observe the behavior of its conversion gain as a function of its operating region. Figure 3 shows two curves: the blue line presents the conversion gain calculated from 1 and the red line presents the conversion gain obtained from PSS simulation.  $CG_{ED}$  is maximized for a  $g_m/I_D \approx 26$  1/V. It corresponds to a transistor operating in the weak inversion region, thus achieving low power consumption. Therefore,  $M_{CG}$  is designed



Fig. 3. Conversion Gain of the envelope detector as a function of gm/Id for a common gate transistor: the blue line is the calculated one and the red line is the simulated one.

on this region with a bias voltage  $V_{bias} = 300$  mV, a length = 0.06  $\mu$ m and a width = 0.13  $\mu$ m.

Then, a low pass filter (LP) at the output of the envelope detector removes the high-frequency components. It is composed of a capacitance  $C_{LP}$  and a diode-connected transistor  $M_1$ . To reduce the silicon area of the system, the chosen capacitance is the smallest varicap-based presented on the BiCMOS 55nm technology. Dimensions are presented in Tab. I.

#### B. Synapse

In literature, the synapse is used in neural systems to translate pre-synaptic voltage pulses from a pre-neuron into post-synaptic currents to excite a post-neuron [9], [12]. In this paper, an excitatory synapse is implemented to generate the current  $I_{ex}$ . Thus,  $I_{ex}$  will excite the FS eNeuron differently according to the input power levels of RF signal. As shown in Fig. 2, the synapse consists of two current mirrors NMOS and PMOS. The purpose is to copy the output current of the filter through the active device and keep the output current  $I_{ex}$  constant regardless of the loading. Synapse sizing is shown in Tab. I.

#### C. FS eNeuron

Finally, an FS eNeuron based on ML model is connected to the system and is shown in Fig. 2. This biomimetic eNeuron has been redesigned from [13] with a high firing rate to increase the system's dynamic range. Optimized FS sizing is presented in Tab. I.

Briefly, when the FS eNeuron is excited by an  $I_{ex}$  current, the membrane capacitance  $C_m$  is charged through  $MP_{Na}$  and discharged through  $MN_K$ . This causes a large but brief change in membrane potential  $(V_m)$ , which is referred to as action potentials (spikes) [4]. Indeed, transistors  $MP_{Na}$  and  $MN_K$ mimic the continuous exchange of Na-in and K-out ions through the cell membrane in brain activity. Two cascaded inverters  $MP_2/MN_2$  and  $MP_3/MN_3$  with  $MN_K$  implement a negative feedback loop and inverter  $MP_1/MN_1$  with  $MP_{Na}$ implement a positive feedback loop.

TABLE I CIRCUIT SIZING IN W X L FOR TRANSISTORS AND NUMBER OF CELL X UNITY FOR CAPACITANCES

$M_1$	135 nm x 60 nm	$C_{LP}$	1 x 8.5 fF
$M_2$	405 nm x 60 nm	$M_3$	135 nm x 60 nm
$M_4$	135 nm x 60 nm	M <sub>5</sub>	135 nm x 60 nm
$MP_1$	135 nm x 60 nm	$MN_1$	200 nm x 60 nm
$MP_2$	1200 nm x 60 nm	$MN_2$	135 nm x 60 nm
MP <sub>3</sub>	200 nm x 60 nm	MN <sub>3</sub>	135 nm x 60 nm
$MP_{Na}$	800 nm x 60 nm	$MN_K$	1500 nm x 60 nm
$C_m$	1 x 9.83 fF	$C_K$	1 x 5.53 fF

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A layout of the proposed RF neuromorphic sensor was designed in the BiCMOS SiGe 55 nm technology from ST Microelectronics, shown in Fig. 4. The image rendering tool described in [17] is used for a high-quality layout illustration. The proposed system occupies 9.8 x 22  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> of silicon area and consumes 1.2 nW of power from a supply voltage ±100 mV. In this work, transmission lines and RF connections are not included in the reported area. Post-layout simulation (PLS) results of the proposal are then presented. Thus, the system performance is validated using PSS combined with PAC, PNOISE, and PSP Virtuoso Spectre simulations.



Fig. 4. The neuromorphic sensor layout (9.8 x 22  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) presenting envelope detector, synapse, and FS eNeuron.

The analysis of the proposed system is divided into three parts. Firstly, to validate the functionality of the system,  $V_{RF}$ ,  $V_{ED}$ ,  $I_{ex}$ , and  $f_{spike}$  are shown from a transient noise PLS. Secondly, the dependency of  $V_{ED}$  and then  $I_{ex}$  on the input power levels  $P_{RF}$  are extracted from PLS. Therefore, the relation between input power levels and spiking frequencies of the eNeuron is deduced for both bits [0,1]. Finally, the system's specifications are defined: the gain, the power consumption, and the energy efficiency.

#### A. System Validation

A 2.4 GHz signal with different input powers ( $P_{RF}$ , in dBm) was connected to the system, and the output signal at each stage was observed. The RF input  $V_{RF}$  is an OOK modulated signal with a data rate (DR) of 1 kbps.

Figure 5 validates the system functionality where an average over eight transient noise simulations is considered. It illustrates the behavior of the system at different stages for a -10 dBm input signal. Simulations are carried out for three bits [0,1,0] in a window of 3 ms. That means a signal with zero voltage for bit=0 and a sinusoidal signal with an amplitude  $V_{RF} = \pm 90$  mV for bit=1. For the sake of better illustration, Fig. 5 presents the results in a limited range of time [0.5 ms : 1.5 ms] for two half bits [0,1].



Fig. 5. Transient simulation that shows the response of the system for two half bits [0,1] at different stages: -10 dBm input signal  $V_{RF}$ , detected signal  $V_{ED}$ , excitation current  $I_{ex}$ , and membrane voltage  $V_m$  in respect to the time. A closer view is added for  $V_{RF}$  and  $V_m$  to clarify the sinusoidal and spiking behaviors respectively.

Two voltage levels of the demodulated signal  $V_{ED}$  are shown in Fig. 5: one for the bit zero data ( $V_{ED,bit0} = 6 \mu$ V, nearzero and constant for any input power) and another for the bit one data ( $V_{ED,bit1} = -36$  mV, and varies with input power). Therefore, the excitation current is constant for the bit zero ( $I_{ex,bit0} = 540$  pA) and presents an average value for the bit one that differs with the input power. The spiking behavior of the membrane voltage  $V_m$  shown in Fig. 5 can be identified by  $f_{spike}$ . Besides, the spiking frequency of the eNeuron is obtained from the number of spikes in respect to the time (in this case, time of a bit is 1 ms). For -10 dBm input signal example, and for the bit one,  $f_{spike,bit1} = 177$  kHz. This value varies with the input power as verified later in Sec. IV-D. However, for the bit zero and for any  $P_{RF}$ , the eNeuron spikes with a constant frequency equal to  $f_{spike,bit0} = 254$  kHz.

#### B. Envelope Detector

In order to assess the performance of the envelope detector presented in Sec. III-A, the minimum detectable signal  $P_{mds}$  (i.e., the sensitivity of the envelope detector) can be expressed as a function of the input power in dBm as:

$$P_{mds} = NF_{tot} + 10logB - 174 + SNR_{min} \tag{4}$$

where  $NF_{tot}$  is the overall noise figure of the circuit, *B* is the bandwidth of the envelope detector, and  $SNR_{min}$  is the minimum signal-to-noise ratio required by the OOK modulation for reliable detection. In this case,  $SNR_{min} = -12$  dB and B = 10 MHz are considered.

As shown in Fig. 6, the sensitivity of the envelope detector is obtained from the intersection between the input power ( $P_{RF}$ , blue line) and the minimum detectable signal from 4 ( $P_{mds}$ , red line). The envelope detector presents a sensitivity of -27 dBm. Therefore, this value limits the gain of the overall system, as demonstrated later in Sec. IV-E.



Fig. 6. Sensitivity of the envelope detector: the blue line is the RF input power  $P_{RF}$  and its cross with the red line is the minimum detectable signal  $P_{mds}$ .

A 31-point  $P_{RF}$  sweep is considered from -30 to 0 dBm for a 2.4 GHz input sinusoidal signal in Fig. 7. It illustrates the gain at each stage of the system:  $V_{ED}$ ,  $I_{ex}$ , and  $f_{spike}$  versus  $P_{RF}$ . Indeed, Fig. 7(a) represents the variation of the demodulated voltage  $V_{ED}$  for a  $P_{RF}$  that varies from -30 dBm to 0 dBm for the bit one. One may notice that the  $P_{RF}$  sweep highlights the  $P_{mds} = -27$  dBm.

#### C. Synapse

The exponential relation of  $V_{ED}$  with the input power  $P_{RF}$  shown in Fig. 7(a) for the bit one, in addition to the two current mirrors, lead to a decrement dependency of the excitation current  $I_{ex}$  on the power level as shown in Fig. 7(b). While  $P_{RF}$  increases from -30 dBm to 0 dBm,  $I_{ex}$  decreases from 420 pA to 12 pA.

#### D. FS eNeuron

When an FS eNeuron is connected to the system and excited by  $I_{ex}$ , its  $f_{spike}$  depends on the bit value and the input power. As the excitation current for bit zero is the maximum current delivered by the system to the eNeuron ( $I_{ex,bit0} = 540$  pA), its spiking frequency is considered the highest ( $f_{spike,bit0} =$ 254 kHz). The reason behind that is to get the best energy efficiency of the eNeuron (in fJ/spike) for the bit zero as demonstrated in [13]. However, for the bit one, its spiking frequency varies proportionally with the excitation current in function of the input power. Figure 7(c) shows that  $f_{spike}$ decreases from 254 kHz to 25 kHz when  $P_{RF}$  increases from -30 dBm to 0 dBm. As expected, the eNeuron is always firing at  $f_{spike,bit1} = 254$  kHz for  $P_{RF}$  below -30 dBm, which is equal to  $f_{spike,bit0}$ .

#### E. System Performance

Assuming an uncertainty of 1 kHz spiking frequency between bit=0 (where  $f_{spike,bit0}$ = 254 kHz) and bit=1 in a window of 1 ms, the functionality of the system is validated until  $P_{RF}$ = -27 dBm where  $f_{spike,bit1}$  = 253 kHz. As said before, the system's performance is limited by the  $P_{mds}$  of the envelope detector. Therefore, the system can distinguish signals at 2.4 GHz with input power levels between -27 dBm <  $P_{RF}$ < 0 dBm. Thus, the dynamic range is equal to 27 dB with a resolution of 1 kHz.

The overall system consumes 1.2 nW for the bit zero. The power consumption  $P_{rms}$  stays at an average of 1.2 nW for the bit one when  $P_{RF}$  is between -30 and -7 dBm. However, it increases until 11.2 nW for a 0 dBm signal power. The implemented FS eNeuron achieves an energy efficiency  $E_{eff,N}$  between 2.5 and 5 fJ/spike depending on  $P_{RF}$ . Maximum  $f_{spike}$  achieved depends on the delivered  $I_{ex}$ . Such results are compared with the state-of-the-art of eNeuron in Tab. II.

State-of-the-art of envelope detector circuits target narrowband matching for low-noise circuitry, which leads to a low  $P_{mds} \approx -50$  dBm for high *DR* [2]. Besides, a low  $P_{mds}$  is demonstrated in spent of  $\mu$ W-range power consumption. Proposed neuromorphic sensor system achieves a  $P_{mds} = -27$  dBm for only 1.2 nW of total power consumption. A better  $P_{mds}$ could be obtained if a narrowband off-chip matching network is chosen. The system presents a remarkable improvement in energy efficiency ( $E_{eff} = 1.2$  fJ/bit) due to the neuromorphic enhancement. Table. II summarizes a literature comparison of envelope detectors.

It can also be noticed that the spiking detection was evaluated for one bit in an observation window of 1 ms and for a DR = 1 kbps. If a higher DR is chosen, one may reduce the observation window to 0.1 ms (DR = 10 kbps) or 0.01 ms (DR = 100 kbps) with no power consumption drawback. It is not the case in the state-of-the-art where a low  $P_{rms}$  and an interesting  $P_{mds}$  are achieved but only for a low DR [6].

#### V. CONCLUSION

RF neuromorphic sensor system remains a challenging issue for smart IoT devices. This paper proposed a neuromorphic



Fig. 7. Post-layout Simulations obtained for bit=1 for (a) the demodulated signal  $V_{ED}$  in mV, (b) the excitation current  $I_{ex}$  in pA, and (c) the spiking frequency  $f_{spike}$  in kHz in function of the input power  $P_{RF}$  varying from -30 dBm to 0 dBm.

TABLE II ENVELOPE DETECTOR AND NEURON PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

Envelope Detector Performance							
Techn.	$F_{RF}$	Prms	P <sub>mds</sub>	$E_{eff}$			
(nm)	(GHz)	(W)	(dBm)	(pJ/bit)			
55	2.4	1.2 n	-27	1.2			
130	2.4	120 n	-48.5	48			
180	2.4	2.4 μ	-50	22.5			
65	0.434	420 p	-79.2	4.2			
130	0.9	5 n	-26	5			
Literature eNeuron Performance							
Model	Techn.	Area	<i>f</i> spike	$E_{eff,N}$			
	(nm)	$(\mu m^2)$	(kHz)	(fJ/spike)			
$ML^*$	55	103	457	2.5			
LIF	65	31	15.6	2			
$ML^{\dagger}$	65	200	1.2	78.3			
$ML^{\ddagger}$	65	35	25	4			
$ML^*$	55	98.61	420	1.95			
	Envelo Techn. (nm) 555 130 180 65 130 Literat Model ML* LIF ML <sup>†</sup> ML <sup>‡</sup> ML <sup>‡</sup>	Envelope         Detector           Techn. $F_{RF}$ (nm)         (GHz)           55         2.4           130         2.4           180         2.4           65         0.434           130         0.9           Literature         eNeur           Model         Techn.           (nm)         (nm)           ML*         55           LIF         65           ML <sup>‡</sup> 65           ML <sup>‡</sup> 65           ML <sup>‡</sup> 55 <td><math display="block">\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Envelope Detector Perform \$</math></td> <td><math display="block">\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline \textbf{Envelope Detector Performance} \\ \hline \textbf{Envelope Detector Performance} \\ \hline \textbf{Techn.} &amp; F_{RF} &amp; P_{rms} &amp; P_{mds} \\ \hline \textbf{(nm)} &amp; (GHz) &amp; (W) &amp; (dBm) \\ \hline \textbf{55} &amp; 2.4 &amp; 1.2 n &amp; -27 \\ \hline \textbf{130} &amp; 2.4 &amp; 120 n &amp; -48.5 \\ \hline \textbf{180} &amp; 2.4 &amp; 2.4 \mu &amp; -50 \\ \hline \textbf{65} &amp; 0.434 &amp; 420 p &amp; -79.2 \\ \hline \textbf{130} &amp; 0.9 &amp; 5 n &amp; -26 \\ \hline \textbf{Literature eNeuron Performance} \\ \hline \textbf{Model} &amp; \textbf{Techn.} &amp; \textbf{Area} &amp; f_{spike} \\ \hline \textbf{(nm)} &amp; (\mu m^2) &amp; (kHz) \\ \hline \textbf{ML}^* &amp; 55 &amp; 103 &amp; 457 \\ \hline \textbf{LIF} &amp; \textbf{65} &amp; 31 &amp; 15.6 \\ \hline \textbf{ML}^\dagger &amp; \textbf{65} &amp; 35 &amp; 25 \\ \hline \textbf{ML}^* &amp; 55 &amp; 98.61 &amp; 420 \\ \hline \end{array}</math></td>	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Envelope Detector Perform $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline \textbf{Envelope Detector Performance} \\ \hline \textbf{Envelope Detector Performance} \\ \hline \textbf{Techn.} & F_{RF} & P_{rms} & P_{mds} \\ \hline \textbf{(nm)} & (GHz) & (W) & (dBm) \\ \hline \textbf{55} & 2.4 & 1.2 n & -27 \\ \hline \textbf{130} & 2.4 & 120 n & -48.5 \\ \hline \textbf{180} & 2.4 & 2.4 \mu & -50 \\ \hline \textbf{65} & 0.434 & 420 p & -79.2 \\ \hline \textbf{130} & 0.9 & 5 n & -26 \\ \hline \textbf{Literature eNeuron Performance} \\ \hline \textbf{Model} & \textbf{Techn.} & \textbf{Area} & f_{spike} \\ \hline \textbf{(nm)} & (\mu m^2) & (kHz) \\ \hline \textbf{ML}^* & 55 & 103 & 457 \\ \hline \textbf{LIF} & \textbf{65} & 31 & 15.6 \\ \hline \textbf{ML}^\dagger & \textbf{65} & 35 & 25 \\ \hline \textbf{ML}^* & 55 & 98.61 & 420 \\ \hline \end{array}$			

\* fast-spiking <sup>†</sup> biomimetic <sup>‡</sup> simplified

enhanced wake-up radio as an innovative way for braininspired applications. It can identify bit patterns of a 2.4 GHz OOK-modulated signal and seize important information from electromagnetic environement. Thus, the system is able to distinguish different input powers based on the spiking frequency of the neuron. It occupies 9.8 x 22  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> of silicon core area and consumes only 1.2 nW of power from a supply voltage ±100 mV. Lastly, the system achieves a significant energy efficiency of 1.2 pJ/bit with a minimum detectable signal of -27 dBm.

#### REFERENCES

- R. Piyare *et al.*, "Ultra low power wake-up radios: A hardware and networking survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, vol. 19, pp. 2117–2157, 2017. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/COMST. 2017.2728092
- [2] K.-W. Cheng and S.-E. Chen, "An ultralow-power wake-up receiver based on direct active rf detection," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 64, pp. 1661–1672, 7 2017. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/TCSI.2017.2664919
- [3] C. D. Schuman *et al.*, "A survey of neuromorphic computing and neural networks in hardware," pp. 1–88, 5 2017. [Online]. Available: 1705.06963v1
- [4] I. Sourikopoulos et al., "A 4-fj/spike artificial neuron in 65 nm cmos technology," Frontiers in Neuroscience, vol. 11, pp. 1–14, 3 2017.
   [Online]. Available: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00123

- [5] S.-C. Liu and T. Delbruck, "Neuromorphic sensory systems." *Current opinion in neurobiology*, vol. 20, pp. 288–95, 6 2010. [Online]. Available: 10.1016/j.conb.2010.03.007
- [6] V. Mangal and P. R. Kinget, "Sub-nw wake-up receivers with gate-biased self-mixers and time-encoded signal processing," *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 54, pp. 3513–3524, 12 2019. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/JSSC.2019.2941010
- [7] M. A. Karami and K. Moez, "An integrated rf-powered wake-up wireless transceiver with -26 dbm sensitivity," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 4662, pp. 1–1, 2021. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/JIOT.2021.3116208
- [8] L. Reyes and F. Silveira, "Gain, signal-to-noise ratio and power optimization of envelope detector for ultra-low-power wake-up receiver," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, vol. 66, pp. 1703–1707, 10 2019. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/TCSII.2019.2932767
- F. Danneville *et al.*, "Sub-0.3v cmos neuromorphic technology and its potential application." IEEE, 6 2021, pp. 1–6. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/CBMI50038.2021.9461899
- [10] F. Danneville et al., "A sub-35â-pw axon-hillock artificial neuron circuit," Solid-State Electronics, vol. 153, pp. 88–92, 3 2019. [Online]. Available: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/ S0038110118305562
- [11] M. R. Azghadi et al., "A hybrid cmos-memristor neuromorphic synapse," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 11, pp. 434–445, 4 2017. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/TBCAS.2016.2618351
- [12] N. Qiao and G. Indiveri, "Analog circuits for mixed-signal neuromorphic computing architectures in 28 nm fd-soi technology." IEEE, 10 2017, pp. 1–4. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/S3S.2017.8309203
- [13] P. M. Ferreira *et al.*, "Neuromorphic analog spiking-modulator for audio signal processing," *Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing*, vol. 106, pp. 261–276, 1 2021. [Online]. Available: 10.1007/s10470-020-01729-3
- [14] M. Chu et al., "Neuromorphic hardware system for visual pattern recognition with memristor array and cmos neuron," *IEEE Transactions* on *Industrial Electronics*, vol. 62, pp. 2410–2419, 4 2015. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6894575
- [15] A. Cassidy, Z. Zhang, and A. G. Andreou, "Neuromorphic interconnects using ultra wideband radio." IEEE, 2008, pp. 297–300. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/BIOCAS.2008.4696933
- [16] M. Siniscalchi *et al.*, "Modeling a nanometer fd-soi transistor with a basic all-region mosfet model." IEEE, 2 2020, pp. 1–4. [Online]. Available: 10.1109/LAEDC49063.2020.9073239
- [17] J. R. Raposo *et al.*, "Ic-layout render: Image rendering tool for integrated circuit layout in python." [Online]. Available: https: //doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5618268